

Leadership Operating System

A Framework for Organizational Health and Aligned Execution

Marvourneen K. Dolor

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	3
Foundation: Why This Matters	4
Layer 1: Clarity	6
Layer 2: Alignment	8
Layer 3: Cadence.....	10
Layer 4: Development	11
The First 90 Days.....	13
Why This Fails	15
Sources and Further Reading.....	16

Executive Summary

Most organizational dysfunction is not a people problem. It is a clarity problem. Smart, capable people underperform when alignment is assumed rather than built, when strategic intent isn't shared, and when the operating rhythm doesn't create space for real conversation.

The Leadership Operating System is a repeatable framework for building organizational health from the leadership team down. It works through four integrated layers:

- **Clarity:** The leadership team builds shared answers to six fundamental questions about why the organization exists, how it behaves, and what matters most right now. These answers are developed together, not handed down.
- **Alignment:** Strategic intent flows down through a briefing/back-brief cycle that creates genuine ownership rather than compliance. People understand the intent well enough to exercise judgment, not just follow instructions.
- **Cadence:** A deliberate operating rhythm maintains clarity and alignment over time. Meetings are for problem-solving and decisions, not status updates. Without cadence, entropy wins.
- **Development:** Leaders default to asking, not telling. Every 1:1 conversation, every delegation decision, every piece of feedback either grows capacity or diminishes it. Development is not a separate activity; it is the mechanism through which clarity and alignment propagate.

The core principle: culture change is leadership behavior change that propagates. You cannot fix a thousand-person culture directly. You fix the top team first, then cascade. Each layer of leadership applies the same system to their own team.

Implementation follows a deliberate 90-day sequence: establish clarity in the first 30 days, build alignment in the next 30, and introduce cadence in the final 30. Development is woven throughout.

This framework is for any leader who manages other leaders, or who wants to. The symptoms show up in teams of five and organizations of five thousand. The two conditions that matter are readiness (the senior leader must go first and model vulnerability) and stability (the organization must not be in active crisis).

The work fails most often when the senior leader treats it as something for everyone else to do, when the team mistakes a well-run session for actual alignment, or when it's treated as a one-time workshop rather than an ongoing operating system.

Foundation: Why This Matters

The Core Premise

Most organizational dysfunction is not a people problem. It is a clarity problem.

Smart, capable people underperform when they lack shared understanding of strategic intent, when alignment is assumed rather than built, and when the operating rhythm doesn't create space for real conversation. Organizations respond with more communication or more process, but these address symptoms rather than root causes.

The Leadership Operating System provides a repeatable framework for building organizational health from the leadership team down. It integrates proven methodologies into four layers (Clarity, Alignment, Cadence, and Development) that work as a system rather than a collection of tools.

The core principle: Culture change is leadership behavior change that propagates. Get the top team healthy first. Then cascade.

The goal: Leaders who are aligned on what they need to achieve and why, with freedom and accountability for what they do and how.

Symptoms You Might Recognize

This framework is designed for leadership teams experiencing coordination failures despite having talented people. You might recognize these patterns:

- Decisions get revisited repeatedly because people weren't really aligned the first time
- Status meetings consume hours without producing clarity or decisions
- Teams duplicate effort or work at cross-purposes without realizing it
- Strategic priorities shift quarterly without clear rationale
- Talented people are frustrated because they "can't get anything done"

If these sound familiar, the problem is almost certainly not your people. It's the operating system they're working within.

The Unique Insight

Organizational health initiatives fail because they're deployed at the wrong level.

Leaders try to improve culture through values posters and all-hands speeches when they haven't even gotten their own leadership team aligned. They invest in manager training programs while the executive team models dysfunction. They roll out OKRs company-wide when the top team can't agree on what matters most.

The cascade must start with you, the leadership team you're part of, before it can spread. Get the top team healthy first. Then each member of that team does the same

work with their team. Then their direct reports do it. Culture change is leadership behavior change that propagates.

Each methodology in this framework (Lencioni's organizational health model, Bungay's directed opportunism, Stanier's coaching approach, Wiseman's multiplier lens) is powerful on its own. What this framework provides is the integration: the sequence and connection points that make them work as a system rather than a collection of tools.

The Four Layers

The Leadership Operating System works through four integrated layers, each building on the one before:

Layer	Purpose	Key Question
1. Clarity	Shared answers to fundamental questions	Are we aligned on why we exist and what matters most?
2. Alignment	Translate intent into committed action	Does everyone know what they're doing and why?
3. Cadence	Rhythm of conversations that maintain alignment	How do we stay connected and course-correct?
4. Development	Multiply capability through coaching	Are we growing the brilliance in our people?

Most leaders want to start with cadence: the meeting rhythms and check-ins feel actionable. That's the wrong place to start. Before any of that can work, the leadership team needs shared answers to the questions most of them have never explicitly discussed together.

Layer 1: Clarity

Before a leadership team can align on execution, they must build shared answers to six fundamental questions. These answers are developed together, not handed down, so that every leader actually owns them.

Lencioni's Six Questions

#	Question	What It Addresses
1	Why do we exist?	The core purpose beyond making money
2	How do we behave?	The values that define our culture
3	What do we do?	The business we're in, simply stated
4	How will we succeed?	The strategic anchors that differentiate us
5	What is most important right now?	The single thematic goal for this period
6	Who must do what?	Clear ownership and accountability

How It's Built

The leadership team works through these questions together in a facilitated session, typically offsite. The facilitator's role is to surface assumptions that aren't shared, push for specificity over platitudes, ensure genuine buy-in rather than false consensus, and document answers in language the team owns.

Facilitation

The clarity and alignment sessions (Layers 1 and 2) work best with a dedicated facilitator so that the senior leader and every member of the leadership team can focus on content rather than process. The facilitator doesn't need to be external, but they should not be the most senior person in the room.

What the facilitator does:

- Surface assumptions that aren't shared
- Push for more specificity when the team drifts toward platitudes
- Ensure the quieter voices get heard
- Name disagreements the group is trying to skip past

- Document outcomes in language the team recognizes as their own

What the facilitator does not do:

- Drive the team toward a predetermined answer
- Fill silence with their own ideas
- Let the session become a presentation

The value is in the conversation, not the slides.

You'll know this is working when *team members can articulate the answers in their own words, without reading them off a slide, and when the answers actually inform decisions rather than sitting in a shared drive untouched.*

Sources: The Advantage and The Five Dysfunctions of a Team (Patrick Lencioni); The Effective Executive (Peter Drucker)

Layer 2: Alignment

Clarity establishes the "why" and "what." Alignment translates that into committed action while preserving autonomy.

Why Cascaded Goals Aren't Enough

Traditional alignment approaches break objectives into smaller pieces and push them downward, assuming this creates coherence and a sense of urgency. It doesn't.

Organizations still miss target dates, build the wrong thing, and don't get enough iteration cycles to course-correct. Buckingham and Goodall's research suggests this is because the approach produces activity without understanding. People are busy, but the work doesn't converge on outcomes that matter.

There's a subtler problem, too. When goals are set without shared understanding of intent, teams face pressure to show progress against their targets vs. pivoting to solve the underlying customer problem. A team builds an MVP, but instead of iterating based on what they learned, executive reviews ratchet up expectations. More resources get thrown at the initial bet to justify the investment already made. The sunk cost fallacy takes over, real experimentation stops, and the organization ends up with a lot of activity but poor results. The team was "aligned" to a goal. They just never had the freedom to pursue it intelligently.

Bungay named three structural gaps that explain why this happens:

- Knowledge Gap: Leaders don't know what's happening on the ground
- Alignment Gap: Plans don't match actions because intent wasn't clear
- Effects Gap: Actions don't produce desired outcomes

The Briefing / Back-Brief Cycle

Strategic intent flows down. Commitment and feedback flow up.

Briefing (Leader to Team): "Here's what I need you to accomplish and why. Here's the context. Here's what I'm leaving to your judgment."

Back-Brief (Team to Leader): "Here's what I'm going to do and how. Here's what I need from you. Here's what might get in the way."

The back-brief is where alignment becomes real. It surfaces misunderstandings before they become failures, and it creates genuine ownership because people articulate their own plan.

True alignment comes when people understand the intent well enough to exercise judgment, which is exactly what the back-brief surfaces. When someone articulates their own plan for achieving the intent, you can see whether they've internalized the

"why" or are simply parroting the "what." The goal is not uniform action but coherent action: people making good local decisions because they understand the larger picture.

You'll know this is working when leaders can explain not just what they're doing but why, when teams feel safe to change course based on what they're learning, and when you hear the same strategic rationale being used independently across teams to make day-to-day decisions.

Sources: *The Art of Action* (Stephen Bungay); *Nine Lies About Work* (Marcus Buckingham and Ashley Goodall)

Layer 3: Cadence

Clarity and alignment are established in intensive sessions. Cadence maintains them through ongoing rhythm. Without cadence, entropy wins.

The Operating Rhythm

Frequency	Meeting Type	Purpose
Daily	Touchpoint (optional)	Quick sync on blockers and priorities. 10-15 minutes. Not for problem-solving.
Weekly	Staff Meeting	Real problem-solving and issue resolution. Not status updates.
Weekly	1:1s with Directs	Coaching conversations with a development focus.
Monthly	Problem Solving	Cross-functional collaboration on issues that require multiple perspectives.
Quarterly	Strategic Check-in	Revisit the six questions. Reflect on progress. Reset priorities.
Annually	Offsite	Deep strategic work. Relationship building. Full clarity refresh.

Key principle: Meetings are for alignment and problem-solving, not status updates. Status should be visible asynchronously.

You'll know this is working when people leave meetings with decisions made and next steps clear, and when the question "didn't we already decide this?" stops being a recurring theme.

Sources: Death By Meeting (Patrick Lencioni); The Four Disciplines of Execution (Chris McChesney, Sean Covey, and Jim Huling)

Layer 4: Development

Organizations invest significant resources to hire talented people, then often fail to grow them. This layer is about becoming a multiplier: a leader who makes everyone around them smarter and more capable.

Development is not a separate activity bolted onto the other three layers. It is the mechanism through which clarity and alignment propagate. Leaders who coach well are literally how the cascade works. Every 1:1 conversation, every delegation decision, every piece of feedback either grows capacity or diminishes it.

The Coaching Stance

Default to asking, not telling. The goal is to help people access their own knowledge and develop their own plans.

Stanier's core questions are deceptively simple: "What's on your mind?" "What's the real challenge here for you?" "What do you want?" "What was most useful for you?"

The discipline: Stay curious a little longer. Rush to action a little slower.

Multiplier Practices

Wiseman's multiplier practices build on each other. Start with the mindset shift: create space for others to contribute and don't fill all the air. This applies whether you're in a quarterly strategic check-in or a weekly 1:1. Your monthly problem-solving meetings will improve when you ask questions that make people think harder, not questions that lead them to your answer, and when you invest in debate and constructive conflict with the goal of finding the best answer, not the fastest one. And in line with the practical guidance from *The Effective Manager*: give ownership of problems, not just tasks, and expect people's best work and hold them to it. If you've spent the time on Layers 1 and 2, your people will know what they're doing and why.

You'll know this is working when your team starts solving problems you didn't assign, when meetings generate better ideas than you'd have come up with alone, and when people seek out stretch assignments rather than waiting to be told what to do.

The Cascade

This framework isn't just for the top team. Each leadership layer applies the same system to their own team:

- Level 1: Executive team establishes clarity on the six questions
- Level 2: Each executive briefs their leadership team and receives back-briefs
- Level 3: Each leader applies the same cycle to their teams

Aligned intent flows through the organization; commitment and feedback flow back up.

The cascade only works if every leader in it is doing this. That's what implementation actually requires.

Sources: The Coaching Habit (Michael Bungay Stanier); Multipliers (Liz Wiseman); The Effective Manager (Mark Horstman, Kate Braun, and Sarah Sentes)

The First 90 Days

Implementation follows a deliberate sequence. Rushing to Layer 3 (Cadence) without establishing Layer 1 (Clarity) creates meetings without meaning.

Days 1-30: Establish Clarity

- Senior leader commits to the process and communicates intent to leadership team
- Schedule offsite or extended session (minimum half-day, ideally full day)
- Facilitator conducts pre-work conversations with each leader
- Leadership team works through the six questions together
- Document answers in shared language; identify where alignment is weak

You'll know this is working when team members describe the clarity work as "the conversation we should have had years ago."

Days 31-60: Build Alignment

- Senior leader delivers strategic intent briefing based on clarity work
- Each leader prepares a back-brief: what they'll do, how, what they need
- Back-brief session surfaces gaps and misunderstandings
- Iterate until alignment is genuine, not performative
- Connect individual goals to shared strategic intent

You'll know this is working when leaders stop asking "what are we supposed to be doing?" and start debating "how do we best accomplish this?"

Days 61-90: Establish Cadence

- Implement new meeting rhythm or refine existing one
- Shift staff meetings from status updates to problem-solving
- Begin coaching-oriented 1:1s
- Schedule first quarterly strategic check-in
- Each leader begins clarity work with their own team (cascade begins)

You'll know this is working when the meeting rhythm starts to feel like "how we work" rather than "another thing on the calendar."

Ongoing: Develop and Maintain

Layer 4 (Development) is woven throughout, not a separate phase. Quarterly check-ins revisit clarity and alignment. Annual offsites provide a deep refresh. Without ongoing attention, entropy wins.

Who This Is For

This framework is for any leader who manages other leaders, or who wants to. The symptoms described at the beginning of this document show up in teams of five and organizations of five thousand. Drift happens at every scale. The sequence scales too.

The two conditions that actually matter are readiness and stability. The senior leader needs to want to change and be willing to go first. This work requires vulnerability at the top. If the person leading the team treats this as something for everyone else to do while they observe, it won't work. And the team needs to be stable enough to invest in foundational work. In the middle of a crisis or major restructuring, tactical survival takes precedence.

If your relationships are completely broken or there's active hostility on the team, different work is needed first. But if you recognize the symptoms and have a team that's capable of honest conversation, this is for you.

What This Is Not

- Not a rigid process. Adapt to context.
- Not a replacement for good judgment.
- Not about more meetings. About better ones.
- Not a one-time fix. It's an operating system that requires maintenance.
- Not something the most senior person in the room should facilitate. Get someone else to run the process so you can focus on the content.

Why This Fails

Being honest about what makes implementation hard increases the odds of success. This work fails when:

The Senior Leader Won't Go First (this one is non-negotiable)

This framework requires the most senior leader to model vulnerability. They need to admit uncertainty, invite challenge, and be open to changing their mind. If the CEO or department head treats this as something for "the team" to do while they observe, it won't work. The cascade starts at the top, or it doesn't start.

The Team Mistakes Activity for Progress

Holding a clarity session doesn't create clarity. Documenting answers doesn't mean people believe them. The six questions require genuine wrestling, not wordsmithing. If the team treats this as an exercise to complete rather than work that matters, the answers will be platitudes that no one references again.

Conflict Gets Avoided Instead of Surfaced (this one feels worse before it feels better)

The clarity and alignment work will surface disagreements that have been papered over. Teams that retreat to false harmony when tension emerges will end up with false alignment: agreeing in the room, disagreeing in the hallway.

It's Treated as a One-Time Fix

This is an operating system, not a workshop. The clarity session establishes a foundation, but without ongoing cadence to maintain alignment and develop capability, entropy wins. Organizations that do the initial work but don't commit to the ongoing rhythm will find themselves back where they started within six to twelve months.

The Time Investment Feels "Unproductive"

In the short term, this work takes time away from "real work." Leaders who can't tolerate that discomfort will abandon the process before it produces results. The leadership team that spends two days on clarity work stops relitigating the same decisions for the next twelve months. That's not unproductive. That's the highest leverage use of their time.

Sources and Further Reading

Organizational Health and Clarity

The Advantage by Patrick Lencioni. The foundational text on organizational health and the six questions every leadership team must answer.

The Five Dysfunctions of a Team by Patrick Lencioni. The pyramid model of team dysfunction: absence of trust, fear of conflict, lack of commitment, avoidance of accountability, inattention to results.

The Effective Executive by Peter Drucker. Timeless principles on executive effectiveness, including the primacy of contribution and decision-making.

Strategic Alignment and Execution

The Art of Action by Stephen Bungay. How to close the alignment, knowledge, and effects gaps using directed opportunism. The briefing/back-briefing cycle.

The Four Disciplines of Execution by Chris McChesney, Sean Covey, Jim Huling. Focus on wildly important goals, act on lead measures, keep a compelling scoreboard, create cadence of accountability.

Nine Lies About Work by Marcus Buckingham, Ashley Goodall. Challenges conventional wisdom about cascading goals. The insight that alignment through shared understanding of intent outperforms alignment through derivative objectives.

Coaching and Development

The Coaching Habit by Michael Bungay Stanier. Seven essential questions for coaching conversations. "Stay curious a little longer. Rush to action a little slower."

Multipliers by Liz Wiseman. How the best leaders make everyone around them smarter. The difference between diminishers and multipliers.

The Effective Manager by Mark Horstman, Kate Braun, Sarah Sentes. Practical guidance on 1:1s, feedback, coaching, and delegation from the Manager Tools methodology.

Supplemental Reading

Good Strategy Bad Strategy by Richard Rumelt. The kernel of good strategy: diagnosis, guiding policy, coherent action. Useful for sharpening "how will we succeed" answers.

Turn the Ship Around! by L. David Marquet. Intent-based leadership in practice. How pushing authority to information transforms organizations.

Thinking in Systems by Donella Meadows. A primer on systems thinking that informs the cascade model and understanding organizational leverage points.